1 2

DELEGATE KOSS: Delegate Boyer, I am having some problems understanding the extent to which this law could apply. I understand that the birds, bees, the flowers, and the air are not owned by any one of us. To what extent could this law apply to private property and at what point would this mandate take precedence over economic considerations in terms of, say, conservation of trees or fish or anything else like that?

DELEGATE BOYER: Delegate Koss, I think it would be rash or brash of me to make a broad statement as to what extent this effect or the conflict might arise. It appears to me that you would almost have to look on a case-by-case basis and determine what is going to be the best for the most and what is going to be for the public good when it comes to the area of conservation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Koss.

DELEGATE KOSS: Well, would this authorize, for instance, the General Assembly to pay damages or reimbursement to any individual who felt that his economic interests were aggrieved by the operation of a conservation measure?

DELEGATE BOYER: I think Judge Adkins raised a